Addendum for the Solicitation:

# SMART FY 2019 Maintenance and Operation of the Dru Sjodin National Sex Offender Public Website

April 8, 2019: Contact information.

The SMART Office has updated the points of contact for this solicitation. For assistance with any requirements of this solicitation, contact Amy Staubs at 202-307-5762 or <u>Amy.Staubs@ojp.usdoj.gov</u> or Kisha Green at 202-616-3573 or <u>Kisha.W.Green@ojp.usdoj.gov</u> from 8 a.m.-5 p.m., Eastern Standard Time.

**U.S. Department of Justice** Office of Justice Programs Office of Sex Offender Sentencing, Monitoring, Apprehending, Registering and Tracking



The <u>U.S. Department of Justice</u> (DOJ), <u>Office of Justice Programs</u> (OJP), <u>Office of Sex Offender</u> <u>Sentencing, Monitoring, Apprehending, Registering, and Tracking</u> (SMART) is seeking applications for funding under the SMART Office FY 2019 Maintenance and Operation of the Dru Sjodin National Sex Offender Public Website (NSOPW). This program furthers the Department's mission by providing the public immediate access to sex offender registration data from public sex offender registries operated by states, territories, the District of Columbia and certain federally recognized Indian tribes.

## SMART FY 2019 Maintenance and Operation of the Dru Sjodin National Sex Offender Public Website

## Applications Due: April 15, 2019

## Eligibility

Eligible applicants are limited to nonprofit and/or for-profit organizations that have demonstrated significant experience in providing website development, hosting and management; web services; service-oriented architecture; distributed information sharing systems; service to criminal justice-related users, law enforcement data and maintaining security protocols; and specialized training and technical assistance to the states, tribes and territories participating in Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act (SORNA) information sharing.

The SMART Office welcomes applications under which two or more entities would carry out the federal award; however, only one eligible entity may be the applicant. Any others must be proposed as subrecipients (subgrantees). The applicant must be the entity that would have primary responsibility for carrying out the award, including administering the funding and managing the entire program, including subrecipients. Under this solicitation, only one application by any particular applicant entity will be considered. An entity may, however, be subrecipient (subgrantee) in more than one application.

Any proposed subawards must be approved by the SMART Office. The SMART Office may consider administration priorities, among other factors in determining whether to approve any such subaward.

The SMART Office may elect to fund applications submitted under this fiscal year (FY) 2019 solicitation in future fiscal years, dependent on, among other considerations, the merit of the applications and on the availability of appropriations.

Any recipient (or subrecipient) of an OJP award must forgo any profit or management fee.

## Deadline

Applicants must register with <u>Grants.gov</u> prior to submitting an application. All applications are due by 11:59 p.m. Eastern time on April 15, 2019.

To be considered timely, an application must be submitted by the application deadline using Grants.gov, and the applicant must have received a validation message from Grants.gov that indicates successful and timely submission. OJP urges applicants to submit applications at least 72 hours prior to the application due date to allow time for the applicant to receive validation messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification.

OJP encourages all applicants to read this Important Notice: Applying for Grants in Grants.gov.

For additional information, see <u>How to Apply</u> in Section D. Application and Submission Information.

## **Contact Information**

For technical assistance with submitting an application, contact the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at 800-518-4726, 606-545-5035, at <a href="mailto:support@grants.gov">support@grants.gov</a> or at <a href="https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/support.html">https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/support.html</a>. The Grants.gov Support Hotline operates 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except for federal holidays.

An applicant that experiences unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond its control that prevent it from submitting its application by the deadline must email the SMART Office contact identified below **within 24 hours after the application deadline** to request approval to submit its application after the deadline. Additional information on reporting technical issues appears under "Experiencing Unforeseen Grants.gov Technical Issues" in the <u>How to Apply</u> section.

For assistance with any other requirements of this solicitation, contact Amy Staubs at 202-307-5762 or <u>Amy.Staubs@ojp.usdoj.gov</u> or Kisha Green at 202-616-3573 or <u>Kisha.W.Green@ojp.usdoj.gov</u>.

Grants.gov number assigned to this solicitation: SMART-2019-14906

Release date: February 13, 2019

## Contents

A. Program Description	4
Overview	4
Program-Specific Information	4
Goals, Objectives and Deliverables	5
Evidence-Based Programs or Practices	7
Information Regarding Potential Evaluation of Programs and Activities	
B. Federal Award Information	
Type of Award	
Financial Management and System of Internal Controls	8
Budget Information	9
Cost Sharing or Matching Requirement	9
Pre-agreement Costs (also known as Pre-award Costs)	9
Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation; Waiver	10
Prior Approval, Planning and Reporting of Conference/Meeting/Training Costs	10
Costs Associated With Language Assistance (if applicable)	10
C. Eligibility Information	11
D. Application and Submission Information	11
What an Application Should Include	11
How To Apply	22
E. Application Review Information	26
Review Criteria	26
Review Process	26
F. Federal Award Administration Information	28
Federal Award Notices	28
Administrative, National Policy and Other Legal Requirements	28
General Information About Post-Federal Award Reporting Requirements	29
G. Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s)	30
H. Other Information	30
Freedom of Information Act and Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552 and 5 U.S.C. 552a)	30
Provide Feedback to OJP	30
Appendix A: Performance Measures Table	31
Appendix B: Application Checklist	33

3

## SMART FY 2019 Maintenance and Operation of the Dru Sjodin National Sex Offender Public Website

## CFDA # 16.750

## A. Program Description

#### Overview

The Office of Sex Offender Sentencing, Monitoring, Apprehending, Registering, and Tracking (SMART) assists jurisdictions with developing and enhancing programs designed to implement the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act (SORNA) of the Adam Walsh Act (34 U.S.C. § 20901, Title I et seq.). The Adam Walsh Act is designed to protect children and adults from sexual exploitation and violent crime, prevent child abuse and child pornography, promote internet safety and honor the memory of Adam Walsh and other crime victims. The Dru Sjodin National Sex Offender Public Website (NSOPW.gov) is a critical component of SORNA's scheme to provide a comprehensive national sex offender registration and notification system to notify and protect the public from sex offenders.

NSOPW enables law enforcement and the public to search existing state, territory and tribal sex offender registries for public sex offender data. With a single query from any internet-connected device, NSOPW searches state, territory and tribal public sex offender registries to deliver matches.

**Statutory Authority:** Any awards under this solicitation will be funded under the statutory authority provided by a full-year Department of Justice appropriations act for FY 2019. As of the writing of this solicitation, the Department of Justice continues to operate under a short-term "continuing resolution"; no full-year appropriation for the Department has been enacted for FY 2019.

#### **Program-Specific Information**

The Office of Justice Programs has supported NSOPW since 2005. In FY 2008, the SMART Office assumed management responsibility for this program as NSOPW is closely aligned with SMART's mission and jurisdictions' participation (including states, eligible Indian tribes, the District of Columbia and U.S. territories) is required by the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act (SORNA), Title I of the Adam Walsh Child Protection and Safety Act of 2006. Since 2008, Congress has specifically appropriated funds for NSOPW.

NSOPW links to all state, territory, District of Columbia and tribal public sex offender registries, and allows nationwide searches for registered sex offenders with one query rather than searching jurisdiction by jurisdiction. In 2005, NSOPW.gov launched with the participation of just 21 states and the District of Columbia. Since its inception, NSOPW has been heavily queried by the public and has had over 100 million user sessions and over 1.9 billion page views. At present, all 50 states, the District of Columbia, American Samoa, Guam, Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Puerto Rico, U.S. Virgin Islands and 151 tribes participate in NSOPW. Through NSOPW, SMART has provided a web-based sex offender registry system free of charge to all Indian tribes that have elected to implement

SORNA and three of the five principal U.S. territories (Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, U.S. Virgin Islands and American Samoa), which allows for participation in NSOPW as required by SORNA.

In addition to maintenance and operation of NSOPW, this award supports enhancements of the SORNA Exchange Portal (a secure information-sharing system for SORNA jurisdictions as required under SORNA). This internet-based system provides a venue to streamline communication and coordination among jurisdictions' sex offender registry officials. The grant also supports two other components: the Tribe and Territory Sex Offender Registry System (TTSORS) and the Sex Offender Registry Tool (SORT). TTSORS assists tribes and territories with implementing SORNA: It serves a dual purpose as it functions as the administrative registry system and as the public sex offender registry website for jurisdictions. SORT provides state, District of Columbia and territory registration agencies with their own customizable administrative registry system and public sex offender registry website. It is designed to enhance information-sharing capabilities and maximize efficiency and cost effectiveness of registry system setup and ongoing maintenance.

#### Goals, Objectives and Deliverables

With this solicitation, the SMART Office seeks applications for funding to include the following project activities designed to —

- Support all hosting, maintenance and operation of NSOPW, including hosting a backup site at a separate location. The grantee must comply with all U.S. Department of Justice security requirements.
- Maintain and operate a secure communication system via the SORNA Exchange Portal between SORNA jurisdiction registry personnel and federal law enforcement for the purpose of sharing information about individual offenders and other registry-related matters. Features include the ability to send and receive email, upload and transfer files, and a discussion platform with chat capabilities.
- Ensure that NSOPW remains in full compliance with applicable provisions of SORNA and complies with any subsequent legislation that impacts its operation.
- Assist jurisdictions with the implementation of web services used to share data through NSOPW.
- Make changes to NSOPW within 24 hours of a request as part of the maintenance portion of the project.
- Provide statistics to SMART on the use of NSOPW and the SORNA Exchange Portal on a monthly basis and have the capability to provide specific and requested information within 24 hours' notice.
- Provide auditing and monitoring tools to SORNA registration jurisdictions and to the SMART Office, and participate in auditing and monitoring activities as requested by the SMART Office.
- Provide continuous monitoring of all connections to NSOPW and remedy any malfunctions within a 24-hour period.

- Answer questions sent to the NSOPW "Webmaster" from the general public, SORNA jurisdictions and as directed through the SMART Office within 24 hours. On average, NSOPW receives three to five inquiries a day, ranging in complexity and length of response. The technical responses shall be based on the ability to re-create the situation as described in the correspondence. The procedural and policy responses shall be based on communication with the individual jurisdiction or agency involved in the query.
- Maintain and operate TTSORS to assist tribes and territories with implementing SORNA registry system requirements. TTSORS serves two purposes: to function as an administrative registry system and as the public sex offender registry website for jurisdictions. Jurisdictions that use TTSORS have the ability to collect all offender information required by SORNA, provide community notifications, and provide public sex offender searching through their website and the Dru Sjodin National Sex Offender Public Website (NSOPW).
- Develop and maintain an automated function in TTSORS and SORT that submits data to the National Crime Information Center (NCIC) National Sex Offender Registry (NSOR), as well as the capability to query NSOR.
- Develop and implement enhancements to TTSORS that complement the workflow of the Tribal Access Program (TAP) workstation, enable integration with third-party software utilized by TAP and reduce duplicate entries by registration personnel.
- Assist states, the District of Columbia and territories with customizing and implementing SORT. This includes providing guidance on integrating SORT into a jurisdiction's existing registry system, which may have associated or ancillary costs that can include data migration, customization and integration into existing systems and tools.
- Develop and implement system enhancements to NSOPW, the SORNA Exchange Portal, TTSORS and SORT necessary to remain current with new and emerging technologies.
- Provide training and technical assistance to states, the District of Columbia, territories and tribes related to participation in NSOPW, the SORNA Exchange Portal, TTSORS and SORT.
- Develop and maintain the capability to allow verified users access to the SORNA Exchange Portal as a service on the Law Enforcement Enterprise Portal (LEEP) with a single sign-on, as well as additional products as directed by the SMART Office (e.g., TTSORS).
- Maintain and update the NSOPW mobile applications on Android and iOS.
- Actively work with all jurisdictions to allow searching by geographic coordinates, modifying searching capabilities as improvements become available.
- Participate in SMART national trainings and conferences, providing attendees with individual and group session support.

- Monitor, maintain and update, in consultation with the SMART Office, NSOPW's social media channels to include relevant content on sexual assault prevention and related safety tips.
- In consultation with the SMART Office, maintain and update the About, FAQs and Education and Prevention sections of NSOPW, as needed.
- Work with the SMART Office (as needed) to modify the project scope during the project period to address emerging needs that impact the operation of NSOPW, the SORNA Exchange Portal, TTSORS and SORT.
- Consult with the SMART Office for guidance and input on website content, design and functionality and technical assistance tools such as brochures and publications, as well as written materials such as fact sheets and training materials and provide logistical support.
- Demonstrate cultural competence in working with Indian Country, which includes understanding the rich diversity of tribal people and significance of self-determination and sovereignty when working with tribal jurisdictions.
- Demonstrate an understanding of the importance of information sharing between SORNA jurisdictions about sex offenders and the need for continued improvement in this area through the continued development or refinement of tools such as the SORNA Exchange Portal. The applicant must have experience in facilitating an advisory working group dedicated to criminal justice data sharing.

The Goals, Objectives and Deliverables are directly related to the performance measures that demonstrate the results of the work completed, as discussed in <u>Section D. Application and</u> <u>Submission Information</u>, under Program Narrative.

#### **Evidence-Based Programs or Practices**

OJP strongly emphasizes the use of data and evidence in policy making and program development in criminal justice, juvenile justice and crime victim services. OJP is committed to the following:

- Improving the quantity and quality of evidence OJP generates
- Integrating evidence into program, practice and policy decisions within OJP and the field
- Improving the translation of evidence into practice

OJP considers programs and practices to be evidence-based when their effectiveness has been demonstrated by causal evidence, generally obtained through one or more outcome evaluations. Causal evidence documents a relationship between an activity or intervention (including technology) and its intended outcome, including measuring the direction and size of a change, and the extent to which a change may be attributed to the activity or intervention. Causal evidence depends on the use of scientific methods to rule out, to the extent possible, alternative explanations for the documented change. The strength of causal evidence, based on the factors described above, will influence the degree to which OJP considers a program or practice to be evidence-based.

OJP's <u>CrimeSolutions.gov</u> is one resource that applicants may use to find information about evidence-based programs in criminal justice, juvenile justice and crime victim services.

#### Information Regarding Potential Evaluation of Programs and Activities

The Department of Justice has prioritized the use of evidence-based programming and deems it critical to continue to build and expand the evidence informing criminal and juvenile justice programs to reach the highest level of rigor possible. Therefore, applicants should note that the Office of Justice Programs may conduct or support an evaluation of the programs and activities funded under this solicitation. Recipients and subrecipients will be expected to cooperate with program-related assessments or evaluation efforts, including through the collection and provision of information or data requested by OJP (or its designee) for the assessment or evaluation of any activities and/or outcomes of those activities funded under this solicitation. The information or data requested may be in addition to any other financial or performance data already required under this program.

### **B. Federal Award Information**

The SMART Office expects to make up to one award of up to \$1 million. The SMART Office expects to make an award for a 36-month period of performance, to begin on October 1, 2019.

The SMART Office may, in certain cases, provide additional funding in future years to awards made under this solicitation, through continuation awards. In making decisions regarding continuation awards, OJP will consider, among other factors, the availability of appropriations, when the program or project was last competed, OJP's strategic priorities and OJP's assessment of both the management of the award (for example, timeliness and quality of progress reports), and the progress of the work funded under the award.

All awards are subject to the availability of appropriated funds and to any modifications or additional requirements that may be imposed by law.

#### Type of Award

The SMART Office expects to make any award under this solicitation in the form of a cooperative agreement, which is a type of award that provides for OJP to have substantial involvement in carrying out award activities. See <u>Administrative</u>, <u>National Policy</u>, <u>and Other</u> <u>Legal Requirements</u>, under <u>Section F. Federal Award Administration Information</u>, for a brief discussion of what may constitute substantial federal involvement.

#### **Financial Management and System of Internal Controls**

Award recipients and subrecipients (including recipients or subrecipients that are pass-through entities<sup>1</sup>) must, as described in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements<sup>2</sup> as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.303:

(a) Establish and maintain effective internal control over the Federal award that provides reasonable assurance that [the recipient (and any subrecipient)] is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For purposes of this solicitation, the phrase "pass-through entity" includes any recipient or subrecipient that provides a subaward ("subgrant") to a subrecipient (subgrantee) to carry out part of the funded award or program. Additional information on proposed subawards is listed under <u>What an Application Should Include</u>, Section D of this solicitation. <sup>2</sup> The "Part 200 Uniform Requirements" means the DOJ regulation at 2 C.F.R Part 2800, which adopts (with certain modifications) the provisions of 2 C.F.R. Part 200.

managing the Federal award in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award. These internal controls should be in compliance with guidance in "Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government" issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the "Internal Control Integrated Framework", issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

- (b) Comply with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal awards.
- (c) Evaluate and monitor [the recipient's (and any subrecipient's)] compliance with statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of Federal awards.
- (d) Take prompt action when instances of noncompliance are identified including noncompliance identified in audit findings.
- (e) Take reasonable measures to safeguard protected personally identifiable information and other information the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity designates as sensitive or [the recipient (or any subrecipient)] considers sensitive consistent with applicable Federal, state, local, and tribal laws regarding privacy and obligations of confidentiality.

To help ensure that applicants understand the applicable administrative requirements and cost principles, OJP encourages prospective applicants to enroll, at no charge, in the <u>DOJ Grants</u> <u>Financial Management Online Training</u>. (This training is required for all OJP award recipients.)

Also, applicants should be aware that OJP collects information from applicants on their financial management and systems of internal controls (among other information), which is used to make award decisions. Under <u>Section D. Application and Submission Information</u>, applicants may access and review a questionnaire — the <u>OJP Financial Management and System of Internal</u> <u>Controls Questionnaire</u> — that OJP requires **all** applicants (other than an individual applying in his/her personal capacity) to download, complete and submit as part of the application.

#### **Budget Information**

#### **Cost Sharing or Matching Requirement**

This solicitation does not require a match. However, if a successful application proposes a voluntary match amount, and OJP approves the budget, the total match amount incorporated into the approved budget becomes mandatory and subject to audit.

#### Pre-agreement Costs (also known as Pre-award Costs)

Pre-agreement costs are costs incurred by the applicant prior to the start date of the period of performance of the federal award.

OJP does **not** typically approve pre-agreement costs; an applicant must request and obtain the prior written approval of OJP for all such costs. All such costs incurred prior to award and prior to approval of the costs are incurred at the sole risk of the applicant. (Generally, no applicant should incur project costs before submitting an application requesting federal funding for those costs.) Should there be extenuating circumstances that make it appropriate for OJP to consider approving pre-agreement costs, the applicant may contact the point of contact listed on the title

page of this solicitation for the requirements concerning written requests for approval. If approved in advance by OJP, award funds may be used for pre-agreement costs, consistent with the recipient's approved budget and applicable cost principles. See the section on Costs Requiring Prior Approval in the <u>DOJ Grants Financial Guide</u> for more information.

#### Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation; Waiver

With respect to any award of more than \$250,000 made under this solicitation, a recipient may not use federal funds to pay total cash compensation (salary plus cash bonuses) to any employee of the recipient at a rate that exceeds 110 percent of the maximum annual salary payable to a member of the federal government's Senior Executive Service (SES) at an agency with a Certified SES Performance Appraisal System for that year.<sup>3</sup> The <u>2019 salary table for</u> <u>SES employees</u> is available on the Office of Personnel Management website. Note: A recipient may compensate an employee at a greater rate, provided the amount in excess of this compensation limitation is paid with non-federal funds. (Non-federal funds used for any such additional compensation will not be considered matching funds, where match requirements apply.) If only a portion of an employee's time is charged to an OJP award, the maximum allowable compensation is equal to the percentage of time worked times the maximum salary limitation.

The Assistant Attorney General for OJP may exercise discretion to waive, on an individual basis, this limitation on compensation rates allowable under an award. An applicant that requests a waiver should include a detailed justification in the budget narrative of its application. An applicant that does not submit a waiver request and justification with its application should anticipate that OJP will require the applicant to adjust and resubmit the budget.

The justification should address, in the context of the work the individual would do under the award, the particular qualifications and expertise of the individual, the uniqueness of a service the individual will provide, the individual's specific knowledge of the proposed program or project and a statement that explains whether and how the individual's salary under the award would be commensurate with the regular and customary rate for an individual with his/her qualifications and expertise, and for the work he/she would do under the award.

#### Prior Approval, Planning, and Reporting of Conference/Meeting/Training Costs

OJP strongly encourages every applicant that proposes to use award funds for any conference-, meeting- or training-related activity (or similar event) to review carefully — before submitting an application — the <u>OJP and DOJ policy and guidance on approval, planning and reporting of such events</u>. OJP policy and guidance (1) encourage minimization of conference, meeting and training costs; (2) require prior written approval (which may affect project timelines) of most conference, meeting and training costs for cooperative agreement recipients, as well as some conference, meeting and training costs for grant recipients; and (3) set cost limits, which include a general prohibition of all food and beverage costs.

#### Costs Associated With Language Assistance (if applicable)

If an applicant proposes a program or activity that would deliver services or benefits to individuals, the costs of taking reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to those services or benefits for individuals with limited English proficiency may be allowable. Reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to services or benefits may include interpretation or translation services, where appropriate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> OJP does not apply this limitation on the use of award funds to the nonprofit organizations listed in Appendix VIII to 2 C.F.R. Part 200.

For additional information, see the <u>Civil Rights Compliance</u> section under <u>Overview of Legal</u> <u>Requirements Generally Applicable to OJP Grants and Cooperative Agreements - FY 2018</u> <u>Awards</u> in the <u>OJP Funding Resource Center</u>.

### **C. Eligibility Information**

For eligibility information, see title page.

For information on cost sharing or match requirements, see <u>Section B. Federal Award</u> <u>Information.</u>

## **D.** Application and Submission Information

#### What an Application Should Include

This section describes in detail what an application should include. An applicant should anticipate that if it fails to submit an application that contains all of the specified elements, it may negatively affect the review of its application; and, should a decision be made to make an award, it may result in the inclusion of award conditions that preclude the recipient from accessing or using award funds until the recipient satisfies the conditions and OJP makes the funds available.

Moreover, an applicant should anticipate that an application that OJP determines is nonresponsive to the scope of the solicitation, or that OJP determines does not include the application elements that the SMART Office has designated to be critical, will neither proceed to peer review, nor receive further consideration. For this solicitation, the SMART Office has designated the following application elements as critical: Program Narrative, Budget Detail Worksheet and requested funding amount.

**NOTE:** OJP has combined the Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative in a single document collectively referred to as the Budget Detail Worksheet. See "Budget Information and Associated Documentation" below for more information about the Budget Detail Worksheet and where it can be accessed.

OJP strongly recommends that applicants use appropriately descriptive file names (e.g., "Program Narrative," "Budget Detail Worksheet," "Timelines," "Memoranda of Understanding," "Résumés") for all attachments. Also, OJP recommends that applicants include résumés in a single file.

Please review the "Note on File Names and File Types" under <u>How To Apply</u> to be sure applications are submitted in permitted formats.

#### 1. Information to Complete the Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424)

The SF-424 is a required standard form used as a cover sheet for submission of preapplications, applications and related information. Grants.gov and the OJP Grants Management System (GMS) take information from the applicant's profile to populate the fields on this form. When selecting "type of applicant," if the applicant is a for-profit entity, select "For-Profit Organization" or "Small Business" (as applicable). To avoid processing delays, an applicant must include an accurate legal name on its SF-424. On the SF-424, current OJP award recipients, when completing the field for "Legal Name" (box 8a), should use the same legal name that appears on the prior year award document (which is also the legal name stored in OJP's financial system.) Also, current recipients should enter the Employer Identification Number (EIN) in box 8b exactly as it appears on the prior year award document. An applicant with a current, active award(s) must ensure that its GMS profile is current. If the profile is not current, the applicant should submit a Grant Adjustment Notice updating the information on its GMS profile prior to applying under this solicitation.

A new applicant entity should enter its official legal name in box 8a, its address in box 8d, its EIN in box 8b, and its Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number in box 8c of the SF-424. A new applicant entity should attach official legal documents to its application (e.g., articles of incorporation, 501(c)(3) status documentation, organizational letterhead) to confirm the legal name, address, and EIN entered into the SF-424. OJP will use the System for Award Management (SAM) to confirm the legal name and DUNS number entered in the SF-424; therefore, an applicant should ensure that the information entered in the SF-424 matches its current registration in SAM. See the <u>How to Apply</u> section for more information on SAM and DUNS numbers.

**Intergovernmental Review:** This solicitation ("funding opportunity") **is not** subject to <u>Executive Order 12372</u>. (In completing the SF-424, an applicant is to answer question 19 by selecting the response that the "Program is not covered by E.O. 12372.")

#### 2. Project Abstract

Applications should include a high-quality project abstract that summarizes the proposed project in 400 words or less. Project abstracts should be —

- Written for a general public audience
- Submitted as a separate attachment with "Project Abstract" as part of its file name
- Single-spaced, using a standard 12-point font (such as Times New Roman) with 1-inch margins

As a separate attachment, the project abstract will **not** count against the page limit for the program narrative.

#### 3. Program Narrative

The program narrative should respond to the solicitation and present a detailed description of the purpose, scope, goals and objectives of the proposed project. The program narrative should be double-spaced, using a standard 12-point font (Times New Roman is preferred) with 1-inch margins, and should not exceed 25 pages. Please number pages "1 of 25," "2 of 25," etc. Submissions that do not adhere to the format will be deemed ineligible. Information required under the "Budget and Budget Narrative" and "Other Attachments" sections will not count toward the program narrative page count.

If the program narrative fails to comply with these length-related restrictions, the SMART Office may consider such noncompliance in peer review and in final award decisions.

The following sections should be included as part of the program narrative:4

a. Description of the Issue

Applicant must describe the models used by states, territories and tribes to operate their sex offender public websites/registries. In particular, applicants must discuss existing challenges and/or complexities unique to these websites/registries, including how they are managed and how they interface with NSOPW. Discussion should highlight the issues associated with working with governmental entities in the areas of law enforcement and public information sharing, public policy and technical support and a thorough understanding of the information-sharing requirements of SORNA.

#### b. Project Design and Implementation

Applicant must detail how the project will operate during the funding period and describe the strategy that will be used to implement the proposed project. This section should illustrate what activities are proposed for the project and describe how the strategy will support the goals and objectives. Applicant must outline how the proposed project will continue to manage, host and operate NSOPW, the SORNA Exchange Portal and TTSORS during all phases of the project period from initial grant receipt to closeout so that there is seamless continuation of operation and project activities. This section must also address efforts to be undertaken with participating jurisdictions (individual connections) to ensure continuity of operations and connection maintenance requirements and should include related national administrative and operational/enterprise-level efforts.

#### c. Capabilities and Competencies

Applicant must describe the management structure and staffing of the project and include information that describes the roles and responsibility of key organizational and functional components and personnel. This section must describe the experience and capability of the applicant and any contractors that will be used to implement the project, and should highlight any previous experience implementing projects of similar design and magnitude. Discussion must demonstrate the applicant's competence and experience in working with state, territory and tribal government agencies, particularly sex offender registries and law enforcement information technology projects, as well as experience in supporting national and complex information-sharing efforts. The management and organizational structure described should match the staff needs necessary to accomplish the tasks outlined in the project work plan. Position descriptions and résumés for key positions and personnel must be submitted as an attachment.

d. Plan for Collecting the Data Required for this Solicitation's Performance Measures The SMART Office does not require applicants to submit performance measures data with the application. Performance measures are included as an alert that SMART will require successful applicants to submit specific data as part of their reporting requirements. For the application, the applicant should indicate an understanding of these requirements and discuss how the applicant will gather the required data, if the applicant receives funding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> For information on subawards (including the details on proposed subawards that should be included in the application), see "Budget and Associated Documentation" under <u>Section D. Application and Submission Information</u>.

OJP will require each successful applicant to submit regular performance data that demonstrate the results of the work carried out under the award (see "<u>General</u> <u>Information about Post-Federal Award Reporting Requirements</u>" in <u>Section F. Federal Award Administration Information</u>). The performance data directly relate to the goals, objectives, and deliverables identified under "Goals, Objectives and Deliverables" in <u>Section A. Program Description</u>.

Applicants should visit <u>OJP's performance measurement page</u> for an overview of performance measurement activities at OJP.

Performance measures for this solicitation are listed in <u>Appendix A: Performance</u> <u>Measures Table</u>.

The application should demonstrate the applicant's understanding of the performance data reporting requirements for this grant program and detail how the applicant will gather the required data should it receive funding.

Please note that applicants are **not** required to submit performance data with the application. Performance measures information is included as an alert that successful applicants will be required to submit performance data as part of the reporting requirements under an award.

#### Note on Project Evaluations

An applicant that proposes to use award funds through this solicitation to conduct project evaluations should be aware that certain project evaluations (such as systematic investigations designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge) may constitute "research" for purposes of applicable DOJ human subjects protection regulations. However, project evaluations that are intended only to generate internal improvements to a program or service, or are conducted only to meet OJP's performance measure data reporting requirements, likely do not constitute "research." Each applicant should provide sufficient information for OJP to determine whether the particular project it proposes would either intentionally or unintentionally collect and/or use information in such a way that it meets the DOJ definition of research that appears at 28 C.F.R. Part 46 ("Protection of Human Subjects").

"Research," for purposes of human subjects protection for OJP-funded programs, is defined as "a systematic investigation, including research development, testing and evaluation, designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge." 28 C.F.R. 46.102(d).

For additional information on determining whether a proposed activity would constitute research for purposes of human subjects protection, applicants should consult the decision tree in the "Research and the protection of human subjects" section of the <u>Requirements related to</u> <u>Research</u> webpage of the <u>Overview of Legal Requirements Generally Applicable to OJP Grants and Cooperative Agreements - FY 2018 Awards</u>.

Every prospective applicant whose application may propose a research or statistical component also should review the "Data Privacy and Confidentiality Requirements" section on that webpage.

#### 4. Budget and Associated Documentation

The Budget Detail Worksheet and the Budget Narrative are now combined in a single document collectively referred to as the <u>Budget Detail Worksheet</u>. The Budget Detail

Worksheet is a user-friendly, fillable, Microsoft Excel-based document designed to calculate totals. Additionally, the Excel workbook contains worksheets for multiple budget years that can be completed as necessary. All applicants should use the Excel version when completing the proposed budget in an application, except in cases where the applicant does not have access to Microsoft Excel or experiences technical difficulties. If an applicant does not have access to Microsoft Excel or experiences technical difficulties with the Excel version, then the applicant should use the 508-compliant accessible Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) version.

#### a. Budget Detail Worksheet

The Budget Detail Worksheet should provide the detailed computation for each budget line item, listing the total cost of each and showing how it was calculated by the applicant. For example, costs for personnel should show the annual salary rate and the percentage of time devoted to the project for each employee paid with grant funds. The Budget Detail Worksheet should present a complete itemization of all proposed costs.

For questions pertaining to budget and examples of allowable and unallowable costs, see the <u>DOJ Grants Financial Guide</u>.

#### b. Budget Narrative

The budget narrative should thoroughly and clearly describe **every** category of expense listed in the Budget Detail Worksheet. OJP expects proposed budgets to be complete, cost effective, and allowable (e.g., reasonable, allocable and necessary for project activities).

An applicant should demonstrate in its budget narrative how it will maximize cost effectiveness of award expenditures. Budget narratives should generally describe cost effectiveness in relation to potential alternatives and the goals of the project. For example, a budget narrative should detail why planned in-person meetings are necessary, or how technology and collaboration with outside organizations could be used to reduce costs, without compromising quality.

The budget narrative should be mathematically sound and correspond clearly with the information and figures provided in the Budget Detail Worksheet. The narrative should explain how the applicant estimated and calculated **all** costs, and how those costs are necessary to the completion of the proposed project. The narrative may include tables for clarification purposes, but need not be in a spreadsheet format. As with the Budget Detail Worksheet, the budget narrative should describe costs by year.

# c. Information on Proposed Subawards (if any), as well as on Proposed Procurement Contracts (if any)

Applicants for OJP awards typically may propose to make subawards. Applicants also may propose to enter into procurement contracts under the award.

Whether an action — for federal grants administrative purposes — is a subaward or procurement contract is a critical distinction as significantly different rules apply to subawards and procurement contracts. If a recipient enters into an agreement that is a subaward of an OJP award, specific rules apply — many of which are set by federal statutes and DOJ regulations; others by award conditions. These rules place particular responsibilities on an OJP recipient for any subawards the OJP recipient may make. The rules determine much of what the written subaward agreement itself must require or

provide. The rules also determine much of what an OJP recipient must do both before and after it makes a subaward. If a recipient enters into an agreement that is a procurement contract under an OJP award, a substantially different set of federal rules applies.

OJP has developed the following guidance documents to help clarify the differences between subawards and procurement contracts under an OJP award and outline the compliance and reporting requirements for each. This information can be accessed online at <u>Subawards and Procurement Contracts Under OJP Awards</u>.

- <u>Subawards under OJP Awards and Procurement Contracts under Awards: A</u>
  <u>Toolkit for OJP Recipients</u>.
- Checklist to Determine Subrecipient or Contractor Classification.
- Sole Source Justification Fact Sheet and Sole Source Review Checklist.

In general, the central question is the relationship between what the third-party will do under its agreement with the recipient and what the recipient has committed (to OJP) to do under its award to further a public purpose (e.g., services the recipient will provide, products it will develop or modify, research or evaluation it will conduct). If a third party will provide some of the services the recipient has committed (to OJP) to provide, will develop or modify all or part of a product the recipient has committed (to OJP) to develop or modify, or will conduct part of the research or evaluation the recipient has committed (to OJP) to conduct, OJP will consider the agreement with the third party a subaward for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements.

This will be true **even if** the recipient, for internal or other non-federal purposes, labels or treats its agreement as a procurement, a contract, or a procurement contract. Neither the title nor the structure of an agreement determines whether the agreement — for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements — is a subaward or is instead a procurement contract under an award. The substance of the relationship should be given greater consideration than the form of agreement between the recipient and the outside entity.

#### 1. Information on proposed subawards

A recipient of an OJP award may not make subawards ("subgrants") unless the recipient has specific federal authorization to do so. Unless an applicable statute or DOJ regulation specifically authorizes (or requires) subawards, a recipient must have authorization from OJP before it may make a subaward.

A particular subaward may be authorized by OJP because the recipient included a sufficiently detailed description and justification of the proposed subaward in the Program Narrative, Budget Detail Worksheet, and Budget Narrative as approved by OJP. If, however, a particular subaward is not authorized by federal statute or regulation, and is not approved by OJP, the recipient will be required, post-award, to request and obtain written authorization from OJP before it may make the subaward.

If an applicant proposes to make one or more subawards to carry out the federal award and program, the applicant should (1) identify (if known) the proposed subrecipient(s), (2) describe in detail what each subrecipient will do to carry out the federal award and federal program, and (3) provide a justification for the subaward(s), with details on pertinent matters such as special qualifications and areas of expertise. Pertinent information on subawards should appear not only in the Program Narrative, but also in the Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative.

# 2. Information on proposed procurement contracts (with specific justification for proposed noncompetitive contracts over \$150,000)

Unlike a recipient contemplating a subaward, a recipient of an OJP award generally does not need specific prior federal authorization to enter into an agreement that — for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements — is considered a procurement contract, **provided that** (1) the recipient uses its own documented procurement procedures and (2) those procedures conform to applicable federal law, including the Procurement Standards of the (DOJ) Part 200 Uniform Requirements (as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.317 - 200.326). The Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative should identify proposed procurement contracts. (As discussed above, subawards must be identified and described separately from procurement contracts.)

The Procurement Standards in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, however, reflect a general expectation that agreements that (for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements) constitute procurement "contracts" under awards will be entered into on the basis of full and open competition. All noncompetitive (sole source) procurement contracts must meet the OJP requirements outlined at <u>Subawards and Procurement</u> <u>Contracts Under OJP Awards</u>. If a proposed procurement contract would exceed the simplified acquisition threshold — currently, \$150,000 — a recipient of an OJP award may not proceed without competition unless and until the recipient receives specific advance authorization from OJP to use a non-competitive approach for the procurement. An applicant that (at the time of its application) intends — without competition — to enter into a procurement contract that would exceed \$150,000 should include a detailed justification that explains to OJP why, in the particular circumstances, it is appropriate to proceed without competition.

If the applicant receives an award, sole source procurements that do not exceed the Simplified Acquisition Threshold (currently \$150,000) must have written justification for the noncompetitive procurement action maintained in the procurement file. If a procurement file does not have the documentation that meets the criteria outlined in 2 C.F.R. 200, the procurement expenditures may not be allowable. Sole source procurement over the \$150,000 Simplified Acquisition Threshold must have prior approval from OJP using a Sole Source Grant Adjustment Notice (GAN). Written documentation justifying the noncompetitive procurement must be submitted with the GAN and maintained in the procurement file.

#### d. Pre-Agreement Costs

For information on pre-agreement costs, see Section B. Federal Award Information.

#### 5. Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable)

Indirect costs may be charged to an award only if -

- (a) The recipient has a current (unexpired), federally approved indirect cost rate; or
- (b) The recipient is eligible to use, and elects to use, the "de minimis" indirect cost rate described in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.414(f).

An applicant with a current (unexpired) federally approved indirect cost rate is to attach a copy of the indirect cost rate agreement to the application. An applicant that does not have a current federally approved rate may request one through its cognizant federal agency, which will review all documentation and approve a rate for the applicant entity, or, if the applicant's accounting system permits, applicants may propose to allocate costs in the direct cost categories.

For assistance with identifying the appropriate cognizant federal agency for indirect costs, please contact the Office of the Chief Financial Officer (OCFO) Customer Service Center at 800-458-0786 or at <u>ask.ocfo@usdoj.gov</u>. If DOJ is the cognizant federal agency, applicants may obtain information needed to submit <u>an indirect cost rate proposal</u>.

Certain OJP recipients have the option of electing to use the de minimis indirect cost rate. An applicant that is eligible to use the de minimis rate that wishes to use the de minimis rate should attach written documentation to the application that advises OJP of both (1) the applicant's eligibility to use the de minimis rate, and (2) its election to do so. If an eligible applicant elects the de minimis rate, costs must be consistently charged as either indirect or direct costs, but may not be double charged or inconsistently charged as both. The de minimis rate may no longer be used once an approved federally negotiated indirect cost rate is in place. (No entity that ever has had a federally approved negotiated indirect cost rate is eligible to use the de minimis rate.) For the de minimis rate requirements (including information on eligibility to elect to use the rate), see the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, at 2 C.F.R. 200.414(f).

6. Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire (including applicant disclosure of high-risk status)

Every OJP applicant (other than an individual applying in his or her personal capacity) is required to download, complete and submit the <u>OJP Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire (Questionnaire)</u> (PDF) as part of its application. The questionnaire helps OJP assess the financial management and internal control systems, and the associated potential risks of an applicant as part of the pre-award risk assessment process.

The questionnaire should only be completed by financial staff most familiar with the applicant's systems, policies and procedures in order to ensure that the correct responses are recorded and submitted to OJP. The responses on the questionnaire directly impact the pre-award risk assessment and should accurately reflect the applicant's financial management and internal control system at the time of the application. The pre-award risk assessment is only one of multiple factors and criteria used in determining funding. However, a pre-award risk assessment that indicates that an applicant poses a higher risk to OJP may affect the funding decision and/or result in additional reporting requirements, monitoring, special conditions, withholding of award funds or other additional award requirements.

Among other things, the form requires each applicant to disclose whether it currently is designated "high risk" by a federal grant-making agency outside of DOJ. For purposes of this disclosure, high risk includes any status under which a federal awarding agency provides additional oversight due to the applicant's past performance, or other programmatic or financial concerns with the applicant. If an applicant is designated high risk by another federal awarding agency, the applicant must provide the following information:

- The federal awarding agency that currently designates the applicant high risk
- The date the applicant was designated high risk
- The high-risk point of contact at that federal awarding agency (name, phone number, and email address)
- The reasons for the high-risk status, as set out by the federal awarding agency

OJP seeks this information to help ensure appropriate federal oversight of OJP awards. An applicant that is considered "high-risk" by another federal awarding agency is not automatically disqualified from receiving an OJP award. OJP may, however, consider the information in award decisions, and may impose additional OJP oversight of any award under this solicitation (including through the conditions that accompany the award document).

#### 7. Applicant Disclosure of Pending Applications

Each applicant is to disclose whether it has (or is proposed as a subrecipient under) any pending applications for federally funded grants or cooperative agreements that (1) include requests for funding to support the same project being proposed in the application under this solicitation **and** (2) would cover any identical cost items outlined in the budget submitted to OJP as part of the application under this solicitation. The applicant is to disclose applications made directly to federal awarding agencies, and also applications for subawards of federal funds (e.g., applications to state agencies that will subaward ["subgrant"] federal funds).

OJP seeks this information to help avoid inappropriate duplication of funding. Leveraging multiple funding sources in a complementary manner to implement comprehensive programs or projects is encouraged and is not seen as inappropriate duplication.

Each applicant that has one or more pending applications as described above is to provide the following information about pending applications submitted within the last 12 months:

- The federal or state funding agency
- The solicitation name/project name
- The point of contact information at the applicable federal or state funding agency

Federal or State Funding Agency	Solicitation Name/Project Name	Name/Phone/Email for Point of Contact at Federal or State Funding Agency
DOJ/Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS Office)	COPS Hiring Program	Jane Doe, 202-000-0000; jane.doe@usdoj.gov
Health and Human Services/Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration	Drug-Free Communities Mentoring Program/ North County Youth Mentoring Program	John Doe, 202-000-0000; john.doe@hhs.gov

#### Sample Disclosure of Pending Applications Table

Each applicant should include the table as a separate attachment to its application. The file should be named "Disclosure of Pending Applications." The applicant's Legal Name on the application must match the entity named on the disclosure of pending applications statement.

Any applicant that does not have any pending applications as described above is to submit, as a separate attachment, a statement to this effect: "[Applicant Name on SF-424] does not have (and is not proposed as a subrecipient under) any pending applications submitted within the last 12 months for federally funded grants or cooperative agreements (or for subawards under federal grants or cooperative agreements) that request funding to support the same project being proposed in this application to OJP and that would cover any identical cost items outlined in the budget submitted as part of this application."

#### 8. Applicant Disclosure and Justification — DOJ High Risk Grantees<sup>5</sup> (if applicable)

An applicant that is designated as a DOJ High Risk Grantee is to submit in GMS, as a separate attachment to its application, information that OJP will use, among other pertinent information, to determine whether it will consider or select the application for an award under this solicitation. The file should be named "DOJ High Risk Grantee Applicant Disclosure and Justification." (See also, "Review Process," below, under Section E. Application Review Information, for a brief discussion of how such information may considered in the application review process.)

OJP constantly seeks to optimize its investments in criminal- and juvenile justice-focused programs and activities, increase program effectiveness, and maximize the return — and program impact — from limited programmatic resources. Therefore, OJP may remove from consideration or not select for award a "DOJ High Risk Grantee" applicant that is determined to pose a substantial risk of program implementation failure. In making such determinations, OJP will consider one or more of the following factors: the applicant's lack of sufficient progress in addressing required corrective actions necessary for removal of the DOJ High Risk Grantee designation; the nature and severity of the issues leading to or accompanying the applicant's DOJ High Risk Grantee designation; or the applicant's expected ability to manage grant funds and achieve grant goals and objectives.

In this attachment, the applicant is to provide any additional information or justification — especially with regard to corrective actions yet to be implemented (as of the application date) — that may help demonstrate how the applicant has addressed or otherwise mitigated such uncorrected matters, such that any negative impact on the proposed program and its implementation would be immaterial or would be significantly reduced or eliminated. (To the extent that the applicant believes that any of the information provided pursuant to this disclosure may be confidential in nature, the applicant should specifically identify it.)

#### 9. Disclosure of Lobbying Activities

Each applicant must complete and submit this information. An applicant that expends any funds for lobbying activities is to provide all of the information requested on the <u>Disclosure of</u> <u>Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL) form</u> (PDF). An applicant that does not expend any funds for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> A "DOJ High Risk Grantee" is a recipient that has received a DOJ High-Risk designation based on a documented history of unsatisfactory performance, financial instability, management system or other internal control deficiencies, or noncompliance with award terms and conditions on prior awards, or that is otherwise not responsible.

lobbying activities is to enter "N/A" in the text boxes for item 10 ("a. Name and Address of Lobbying Registrant" and "b. Individuals Performing Services").

#### **10. Additional Attachments**

- a. Proposed project timeline and expected milestones
- b. Position descriptions and résumés of any and all key staff
- c. Disclosure of process related to executive compensation

An applicant that is a nonprofit organization may be required to make certain disclosures relating to the processes it uses to determine the compensation of its officers, directors, trustees and key employees.

Under certain circumstances, a nonprofit organization that provides unreasonably high compensation to certain persons may subject both the organization's managers and those who receive the compensation to additional federal taxes. A rebuttable presumption of the reasonableness of a nonprofit organization's compensation arrangements, however, may be available if the nonprofit organization satisfied certain rules set out in Internal Revenue Service (IRS) regulations with regard to its compensation decisions.

Each applicant nonprofit organization must state at the time of its application (question 9c in the <u>"OJP Financial Managment and System of Internal Controls</u> <u>Questionnaire"</u> and mentioned earlier) whether or not the applicant entity believes (or asserts) that it currently satisfies the requirements of 26 C.F.R. 53.4958-6 (which relate to establishing or invoking a rebuttable presumption of reasonableness of compensation of certain individuals and entities).

A nonprofit organization that states in the questionnaire that it believes (or asserts) that it has satisfied the requirements of 26 C.F.R. 53.4958-6 must then disclose, in an attachment to its application (to be titled "Disclosure of Process Related to Executive Compensation"), the process used by the applicant nonprofit organization to determine the compensation of its officers, directors, trustees and key employees (together, "covered persons").

At a minimum, the disclosure must describe in pertinent detail: (1) the composition of the body that reviews and approves compensation arrangements for covered persons; (2) the methods and practices used by the applicant nonprofit organization to ensure that no individual with a conflict of interest participates as a member of the body that reviews and approves a compensation arrangement for a covered person; (3) the appropriate data as to comparability of compensation that is obtained in advance and relied upon by the body that reviews and approves compensation arrangements for covered persons; and (4) the written or electronic records that the applicant organization maintains as concurrent documentation of the decisions with respect to compensation of covered persons made by the body that reviews and approves such compensation arrangements, including records of deliberations and of the basis for decisions.

For purposes of the required disclosure, the following terms and phrases have the meanings set out by the IRS for use in connection with 26 C.F.R. 53.4958-6: officers, directors, trustees, key employees, compensation, conflict of interest, appropriate data as to comparability, adequate documentation and concurrent documentation.

Applicant nonprofit organizations should note that following receipt of an appropriate request, OJP may be authorized or required by law to make information submitted to satisfy this requirement available for public inspection. Also, a recipient may be required to make a prompt supplemental disclosure after the award in certain circumstances (e.g., changes in the way the organization determines compensation).

#### How To Apply

Applicants must register in and submit applications through <u>Grants.gov</u>, a primary source to find federal funding opportunities and apply for funding. <u>Complete instructions on how to register</u> <u>and submit an application</u> are online. Applicants that experience technical difficulties during this process should call the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at **800-518-4726** or **606-545-5035**, which operates 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except for federal holidays.

Registering with Grants.gov is a one-time process; however, **processing delays may occur**, and it can take several weeks for first-time registrants to receive confirmation of registration and a user password. OJP encourages applicants to **register several weeks before** the application submission deadline. In addition, OJP urges applicants to submit applications at least 72 hours prior to the application due date, in order to allow time for the applicant to receive validation messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification.

OJP strongly encourages all prospective applicants to sign up for <u>Grants.gov email notifications</u> regarding this solicitation. If this solicitation is cancelled or modified, individuals who sign up with Grants.gov for updates will be automatically notified.

**Browser Information:** Grants.gov was built to be compatible with Internet Explorer. For technical assistance with Google Chrome, or another browser, contact Grants.gov Customer Support.

**Note on Attachments:** Grants.gov has two categories of files for attachments: "mandatory" and "optional." OJP receives all files attached in both categories. Attachments are also labeled to describe the file being attached (e.g., Project Narrative, Budget Narrative, Other). Please ensure that all required documents are attached in the correct Grants.gov category and are labeled correctly. Do not embed "mandatory" attachments within another file.

An applicant must use the **Add Attachment** button to attach a file to its application. Do not click the paperclip icon to attach files. This action will not attach the files to the application. After adding an attachment, select the **View Attachment** button to confirm you attached the correct file. To remove the file, select the **Delete Attachment** button.

An application can be checked for errors via the **Check Application** button on the **Forms** tab of the **Manage Workspace** page. The button is active if the set of forms in the workspace matches those required in the application package. If you receive a **Cross-Form Errors** message after clicking the **Check Application** button, refer to the Cross-Form Errors help article for more detailed information about this validation error.

**Note on File Names and File Types:** Grants.gov **only** permits the use of **certain specific** characters in the file names of attachments. Valid file names may include **only** the characters shown in the table below. Grants.gov rejects any application that includes an attachment(s) with

a file name that contains **any** characters not shown in the table below. Grants.gov forwards successfully submitted applications to the OJP Grants Management System (GMS).

Characters			
Upper case (A – Z)	Special Characters		
Lower case (a – z)	Parenthesis ()	Curly braces { }	Square brackets []
Underscore ()	Ampersand (&)*	Tilde (~)	Exclamation point (!)
Hyphen ( - )	Comma (,)	Semicolon (;)	Apostrophe ( ' )
Space	At sign (@)	Number sign (#)	Dollar sign (\$)
Period (.)	Percent sign (%)	Plus sign (+)	Equal sign (=)

\*When using the ampersand (&) in XML, applicants must use the "&" format.

**GMS** does not accept executable file types as application attachments. These disallowed file types include, but are not limited to, the following extensions: ".com," ".bat," ".exe," ".vbs," ".cfg," ".dat," ".db," ".dbf," ".dll," ".ini," ".log," ".ora," ".sys," and ".zip." GMS may reject applications with files that use these extensions. It is important to allow time to change the type of file(s) if the application is rejected.

All applicants are required to complete the following steps:

**Unique Entity Identifier (DUNS Number) and System for Award Management (SAM)** Every applicant entity must comply with all applicable System for Award Management (SAM) and unique entity identifier (currently, a Data Universal Numbering System [DUNS] number) requirements. SAM is the repository for certain standard information about federal financial assistance applicants, recipients, and subrecipients. A DUNS number is a unique nine-digit identification number provided by the commercial company Dun and Bradstreet. More detailed information about SAM and the DUNS number is in the numbered sections below.

If an applicant entity has not fully complied with the applicable SAM and unique identifier requirements by the time OJP makes award decisions, OJP may determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive an award and may use that determination as a basis for making the award to a different applicant.

#### Applying as an Individual

An individual who wishes to apply in his/her personal capacity should search Grants.gov for funding opportunities for which individuals are eligible to apply. Use the Funding Opportunity Number (FON) to register. (An applicant applying as an individual must comply with all applicable Grants.gov individual registration requirements.)

Enter the FON at <u>the Grants.gov registration page</u> to complete the registration form and create a username and password for Grants.gov. (An applicant applying as an individual should complete all steps below except 1, 2 and 4.)

#### **Registration and Submission Steps**

1. Acquire a unique entity identifier (currently, a DUNS number). In general, the Office of Management and Budget requires every applicant for a federal award (other than an

23

individual) to include a "unique entity identifier" in each application, including an application for a supplemental award. Currently, a DUNS number is the required unique entity identifier.

This unique entity identifier is used for tracking purposes, and to validate address and point of contact information for applicants, recipients, and subrecipients. It will be used throughout the life cycle of an OJP award. Obtaining a DUNS number is a free, one-time activity. Call Dun and Bradstreet at 866-705-5711 to obtain a DUNS number or apply online at <u>dnb.com</u>. A DUNS number is usually received within 2 business days.

2. Acquire or maintain registration with SAM. Any applicant for an OJP award creating a new entity registration (or updating or renewing a registration) in SAM.gov must submit an original, signed notarized letter appointing the authorized Entity Administrator within 30 days of the registration activation. Notarized letters must be submitted via U.S. Postal Service Mail. Read the Alert at sam.gov/SAM/ to learn more about what is required in the notarized letter, and read the Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) at www.gsa.gov/samupdate to learn more about this process change. All applicants for OJP awards (other than individuals) must maintain current registrations in the SAM database. Applicants will need the authorizing official of the organization and an Employer Identification Number (EIN). Information about SAM registration procedures can be accessed at sam.gov/SAM/.

An application cannot be successfully submitted in Grants.gov until Grants.gov receives the SAM registration information. Once the SAM registration/renewal is complete, **the information transfer from SAM to Grants.gov can take as long as 48 hours.** OJP recommends that the applicant register or renew registration with SAM as early as possible.

- 3. Acquire an Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) and a Grants.gov username and password. Complete the AOR profile on Grants.gov and create a username and password. An applicant entity's "unique entity identifier" (DUNS number) must be used to complete this step. Read more information about the registration process for organizations and other entities and for individuals.
- **4.** Acquire confirmation for the AOR from the E-Business Point of Contact (E-Biz POC). The E-Biz POC at the applicant organization must log into Grants.gov to confirm the applicant organization's AOR. The E-Biz POC will need the Marketing Partner Identification Number (MPIN) password obtained when registering with SAM to complete this step. Note that an organization can have more than one AOR.
- 5. Search for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov. Use the following identifying information when searching for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov. The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) number for this solicitation is 16.750, titled "Maintenance and Operation of the Dru Sjodin National Sex Offender Public Website," and the funding opportunity number is SMART-2019-14906.
- 6. Access Funding Opportunity and Application Package from Grants.gov. Select "Apply for Grants" under the "Applicants" column. Enter your email address to be notified of any changes to the opportunity package before the closing date. Click the Workspace icon to use Grants.gov Workspace.
- 7. Submit a valid application consistent with this solicitation by following the directions in Grants.gov. Within 24-48 hours after submitting the electronic application, the applicant should receive two notifications from Grants.gov. The first will confirm the receipt of the

application. The second will state whether the application has been validated and successfully submitted, or whether it has been rejected due to errors, with an explanation. It is possible to first receive a message indicating that the application is received, and then receive a rejection notice a few minutes or hours later. Submitting an application well ahead of the deadline provides time to correct the problem(s) that caused the rejection. **Important:** OJP urges each applicant to submit its application **at least 72 hours prior** to the application due date, to allow time to receive validation messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification. Applications must be successfully submitted through Grants.gov by 11:59 p.m. Eastern time on April 15, 2019.

Go to Grants.gov <u>Organization Registration page</u> for further details on DUNS numbers, SAM and Grants.gov registration steps and timeframes.

#### Note: Application Versions

If an applicant submits multiple versions of the same application, OJP will review **only** the most recent system-validated version submitted.

#### Experiencing Unforeseen Grants.gov Technical Issues

An applicant that experiences unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond its control that prevent it from submitting its application by the deadline must contact the Grants.gov <u>Customer</u> <u>Support Hotline</u> or the <u>SAM Help Desk (Federal Service Desk)</u> to report the technical issue and receive a tracking number. The applicant must email the SMART Office contact identified in the Contact Information section on the title page **within 24 hours after the application deadline** to request approval to submit its application after the deadline. The applicant's email must describe the technical difficulties, and must include a timeline of the applicant's submission efforts, the complete grant application, the applicant's DUNS number, and any Grants.gov Help Desk or SAM tracking number(s).

**Note: OJP does not automatically approve requests to submit a late application.** After OJP reviews the applicant's request, and contacts the Grants.gov or SAM Help Desks to verify the reported technical issues, OJP will inform the applicant whether the request to submit a late application has been approved or denied. If OJP determines that the untimely application submission was due to the applicant's failure to follow all required procedures, OJP will deny the applicant's request to submit its application.

The following conditions generally are insufficient to justify late submissions:

- Failure to register in SAM or Grants.gov in sufficient time (SAM registration and renewal can take as long as 10 business days to complete. The information transfer from SAM to Grants.gov can take up to 48 hours.)
- Failure to follow Grants.gov instructions on how to register and apply as posted on its website
- Failure to follow each instruction in the OJP solicitation
- Technical issues with the applicant's computer or information technology environment, such as issues with firewalls or browser incompatibility

Notifications regarding known technical problems with Grants.gov, if any, are posted at the top of the OJP Funding Resource Center.

## E. Application Review Information

#### **Review Criteria**

Applications that meet basic minimum requirements will be evaluated by peer reviewers using the following review criteria.

- 1. Description of the Issue (20%)
- 2. Project Design and Implementation (40%)
- 3. Capabilities and Competencies (20%)
- 4. Plan for Collecting the Data Required for this Solicitation's Performance Measures (5%)
- 5. Budget (10%): complete, cost effective, and allowable (e.g., reasonable, allocable, and necessary for project activities). Budget narratives should demonstrate generally how applicants will maximize cost effectiveness of grant expenditures. Budget narratives should demonstrate cost effectiveness in relation to potential alternatives and the goals of the project.<sup>6</sup>
- 6. Other Project Timeline, Position Descriptions and Resumes for Key Personnel (5%)

#### **Review Process**

OJP is committed to ensuring a fair and open process for making awards. The SMART Office reviews the application to make sure that the information presented is reasonable, understandable, measurable and achievable, as well as consistent with the solicitation.

Peer reviewers will review the applications submitted under this solicitation that meet basic minimum requirements. For purposes of assessing whether an application meets basic minimum requirements and should proceed to further consideration, OJP screens applications for compliance with those requirements. Although specific requirements may vary, the following are common requirements applicable to all solicitations for funding under OJP programs:

- The application must be submitted by an eligible type of applicant.
- The application must request funding within programmatic funding constraints (if applicable).
- The application must be responsive to the scope of the solicitation.
- The application must include all items designated as "critical elements."

For a list of the critical elements for this solicitation, see "What an Application Should Include" under <u>Section D. Application and Submission Information.</u>

Peer review panels will evaluate, score, and rate applications that meet basic minimum requirements. The SMART Office may use external peer reviewers, internal peer reviewers, or a combination, to assess applications on technical merit using the solicitation's review criteria. An external peer reviewer is an expert in the subject matter of a given solicitation who is not a current DOJ employee. An internal reviewer is a current DOJ employee who is well-versed or has expertise in the subject matter of this solicitation. Peer reviewers' ratings and any resulting recommendations are advisory only, although reviewer views are considered carefully. Other important considerations for the SMART Office include geographic diversity, strategic priorities, and available funding, as well as the extent to which the Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Generally speaking, a reasonable cost is a cost that, in its nature or amount, does not exceed that which would be incurred by a prudent person under the circumstances prevailing at the time the decision was made to incur the costs.

Narrative accurately explain project costs that are reasonable, necessary and otherwise allowable under federal law and applicable federal cost principles.

Pursuant to the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, before award decisions are made, OJP also reviews information related to the degree of risk posed by the applicant. Among other things to help assess whether an applicant that has one or more prior federal awards has a satisfactory record with respect to performance, integrity, and business ethics, OJP checks whether the applicant is listed in SAM as excluded from receiving a federal award.

In addition, if OJP anticipates that an award will exceed \$150,000 in federal funds, OJP also must review and consider any information about the applicant that appears in the non-public segment of the integrity and performance system accessible through SAM (currently, the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System, FAPIIS).

**Important note on FAPIIS:** An applicant, at its option, may review and comment on any information about itself that currently appears in FAPIIS and was entered by a federal awarding agency. OJP will consider any such comments by the applicant, in addition to the other information in FAPIIS, in its assessment of the risk posed by the applicant.

The evaluation of risks goes beyond information in SAM, however. OJP itself has in place a framework for evaluating risks posed by applicants for competitive awards. OJP takes into account information pertinent to matters such as —

- 1. Applicant financial stability and fiscal integrity
- Quality of the applicant's management systems, and the applicant's ability to meet prescribed management standards, including those outlined in the DOJ Grants Financial Guide
- Applicant's history of performance under OJP and other DOJ awards (including compliance with reporting requirements and award conditions), as well as awards from other federal agencies
- 4. Reports and findings from audits of the applicant, including audits under the Part 200 Uniform Requirements
- 5. Applicant's ability to comply with statutory and regulatory requirements, and to effectively implement other award requirements.

Risks associated with all DOJ High Risk grantees<sup>7</sup> are taken into account during the pre-award risk scoring, and each applicant with a DOJ High-Risk designation will be considered for funding on a case-by-case basis, depending on the nature and severity of the issues that led to the DOJ High Risk designation, status of progress in addressing corrective actions, and demonstrated ability to manage grant funds and achieve grant goals and objectives.

Absent explicit statutory authorization or written delegation of authority to the contrary, all final award decisions will be made by the Assistant Attorney General, who may take into account not only peer review ratings and the SMART Office recommendations, but also other factors as indicated in this section.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> A "DOJ High Risk grantee" is a recipient that has received a DOJ High-Risk designation based on a documented history of unsatisfactory performance, financial instability, management system or other internal control deficiencies, or noncompliance with award terms and conditions on prior awards, or that is otherwise not responsible.

## F. Federal Award Administration Information

#### **Federal Award Notices**

Award notifications will be made by September 30, 2019. OJP sends award notifications by email through GMS to the individuals listed in the application as the point of contact and the authorizing official (E-Biz POC and AOR). The email notification includes detailed instructions on how to access and view the award documents, and steps to take in GMS to start the award acceptance process. GMS automatically issues the notifications at 9:00 p.m. Eastern time on the award date.

For each successful applicant, an individual with the necessary authority to bind the applicant will be required to log in; execute a set of legal certifications and a set of legal assurances; designate a financial point of contact; thoroughly review the award, including all award conditions; and sign and accept the award. The award acceptance process requires physical signature of the award document by the authorized representative. The fully executed award document and the scanning and submission of to OJP.

#### Administrative, National Policy and Other Legal Requirements

If selected for funding, in addition to implementing the funded project consistent with the OJPapproved application, the recipient must comply with all award conditions, as well as all applicable requirements of federal statutes and regulations (including applicable requirements referred to in the assurances and certifications executed in connection with award acceptance). OJP strongly encourages prospective applicants to review information on post-award legal requirements and common OJP award conditions **prior** to submitting an application.

Applicants should consult the <u>Overview of Legal Requirements Generally Applicable to OJP</u> <u>Grants and Cooperative Agreements - FY 2018 Awards</u>, available in the <u>OJP Funding Resource</u> <u>Center</u>. In addition, applicants should examine the following two legal documents, as each successful applicant must execute both documents before it may receive any award funds. (An applicant is not required to submit these documents as part of an application.)

- <u>Certifications Regarding Lobbying; Debarment, Suspension and Other Responsibility</u> <u>Matters; and Drug-Free Workplace Requirements</u>
- Certified Standard Assurances

The webpages accessible through the <u>Overview of Legal Requirements Generally Applicable to</u> <u>OJP Grants and Cooperative Agreements - FY 2018 Awards</u> are intended to give applicants for FY 2019 OJP awards a general overview of important statutes, regulations and award conditions that apply to many (or in some cases, all) OJP grants and cooperative agreements awarded in FY 2019. Individual OJP awards typically also will include additional award conditions. Those additional conditions may relate to the particular statute, program or solicitation under which the award is made; to the substance of the funded application; to the recipient's performance under other federal awards; to the recipient's legal status (e.g., as a forprofit entity); or to other pertinent considerations.

As stated above, the SMART Office expects that it will make any award under this solicitation in the form of a cooperative agreement. Cooperative agreements include a condition in the award document that sets out the nature of the "substantial federal involvement" in carrying out the award and program. Generally stated, under OJP cooperative agreement awards, responsibility

for the day-to-day conduct of the funded project rests with the recipient. OJP, however, may have substantial involvement in matters such as substantive coordination of technical efforts and site selection, as well as review and approval of project work plans, research designs, data collection instruments and major project-generated materials. In addition, OJP often indicates in the award terms and conditions that it may redirect the project if necessary.

In addition to an award condition that sets out the nature of the anticipated "substantial federal involvement" in the award, cooperative agreements awarded by OJP include an award condition that requires specific reporting in connection with conferences, meetings, retreats, seminars, symposia, training activities or similar events funded under the award.

Awards under this solicitation will include a condition (the specific terms of which will govern the award) related to verification of employment eligibility. The condition will, generally speaking, require the recipient (and any subrecipient) that accepts the award to verify the employment eligibility of any individual hired under the award, consonant with 8 U.S.C. § 1324a(1).

Awards under this solicitation will include a condition (the specific terms of which will govern the award) related to competition requirements set forth at 2 C.F.R. § 200.319. The condition will, generally speaking, prohibit recipients (and any subrecipients) from procuring goods and services with award funds by means of any competition that disadvantages or excludes vendors on the basis of their having (or their having had) a prior or existing contractual relationship with the federal government.

#### **General Information About Post-Federal Award Reporting Requirements**

In addition to the deliverables described in <u>Section A. Program Description</u>, any recipient of an award under this solicitation will be required to submit the following reports and data.

**Required reports.** Recipients typically must submit quarterly financial reports, semi-annual progress reports, final financial and progress reports, and, if applicable, an annual audit report in accordance with the Part 200 Uniform Requirements or specific award conditions. Future awards and fund drawdowns may be withheld if reports are delinquent. (In appropriate cases, OJP may require additional reports.)

Awards that exceed \$500,000 will include an additional condition that, under specific circumstances, will require the recipient to report (to FAPIIS) information on civil, criminal and administrative proceedings connected with (or connected to the performance of) either the OJP award or any other grant, cooperative agreement or procurement contract from the federal government. Additional information on this reporting requirement appears in the text of the award condition posted on the OJP webpage at <a href="https://ojp.gov/funding/FAPIIS.htm">https://ojp.gov/funding/FAPIIS.htm</a>.

**Data on performance measures.** In addition to required reports, each award recipient also must provide data that measure the results of the work done under the award. To demonstrate program progress and success, as well as to assist DOJ in fulfilling its responsibilities under the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 (GPRA), Public Law 103-62, and the GPRA Modernization Act of 2010, Public Law 111-352, OJP will require any award recipient, post award, to provide performance data as part of regular progress reporting. Successful applicants will be required to access <u>OJP's performance measurement page</u> for an overview of performance measurement activities at OJP. Performance measures are also listed as an <u>appendix</u>.

29

## G. Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s)

For OJP contact(s), see the title page.

For contact information for Grants.gov, see the title page.

## H. Other Information

#### Freedom of Information Act and Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552 and 5 U.S.C. 552a)

All applications submitted to OJP (including all attachments to applications) are subject to the federal Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and to the Privacy Act. By law, DOJ may withhold information that is responsive to a request pursuant to FOIA if DOJ determines that the responsive information either is protected under the Privacy Act or falls within the scope of one of nine statutory exemptions under FOIA. DOJ cannot agree in advance of a request pursuant to FOIA not to release some or all portions of an application.

In its review of records that are responsive to a FOIA request, OJP will withhold information in those records that plainly falls within the scope of the Privacy Act or one of the statutory exemptions under FOIA. (Some examples include certain types of information in budgets, and names and contact information for project staff other than certain key personnel.) In appropriate circumstances, OJP will request the views of the applicant/recipient that submitted a responsive document.

For example, if OJP receives a request pursuant to FOIA for an application submitted by a nonprofit or for-profit organization or an institution of higher education, or for an application that involves research, OJP typically will contact the applicant/recipient that submitted the application and ask it to identify — quite precisely — any particular information in the application that the applicant/recipient believes falls under a FOIA exemption, the specific exemption it believes applies, and why. After considering the submission by the applicant/recipient, OJP makes an independent assessment regarding withholding information. OJP generally follows a similar process for requests pursuant to FOIA for applications that may contain law-enforcement sensitive information.

#### Provide Feedback to OJP

To assist OJP in improving its application and award processes, OJP encourages applicants to provide feedback on this solicitation, the application submission process, and/or the application review process. Provide feedback to <u>OJPSolicitationFeedback@usdoj.gov</u>.

**IMPORTANT:** This email is for feedback and suggestions only. OJP does **not** reply from this mailbox to messages it receives in this mailbox. Any prospective applicant that has specific questions on any program or technical aspect of the solicitation **must** use the appropriate telephone number or email listed on the front of this document to obtain information. These contacts are provided to help ensure that prospective applicants can directly reach an individual who can address specific questions in a timely manner.

If you are interested in being a reviewer for other OJP grant applications, please email your résumé to <u>ojpprsupport@usdoj.gov</u>. (Do not send your résumé to the OJP Solicitation Feedback email account.) **Note:** Neither you nor anyone else from your organization or entity can be a peer reviewer in a competition in which you or your organization/entity has submitted an application.

Objective	Performance Measure(s)	Data Grantee Provides
Provide a single point of access for the public and law enforcement to search public sex offender registries	Number of inquiries against NSOPW	Number of inquiries against NSOPW each month during the reporting period
hosted by states (including the District of Columbia), territories and tribes	Number of states (including the District of Columbia), territories and tribes participating in NSOPW	Number of states (including the District of Columbia), territories and tribes participating in NSOPW during each month of the reporting period
	Number of deliverables completed on time as determined by SMART Office Number of deliverables that	Deliverables defined in the solicitation submitted to SMART Office
	meet expectations as defined by SMART Office	Deliverables defined in the solicitation approved by SMART Office
Provide training and technical assistance for jurisdictions to participate in NSPOW, SORNA Exchange Portal and	Number of technical assistance events/activities conducted	Number of technical assistance events/activities conducted during each month of the reporting period, by type:
TTSORS		a) NSOPW b) TTSORs c) SORT d) SORNA Exchange Portal
	Percentage of technical assistance requests completed with satisfactory resolution	Number of technical assistance requests closed out with satisfactory resolution during the reporting period
	Average response time for questions sent to Webmaster or through the SMART Office inquiry line.	Number of responses provided to questions sent to Webmaster during each month of the reporting period

## Appendix A: Performance Measures Table

	Number of new tribes and territories utilizing TTSORS during each month of the reporting period
	Total number of tribes and territories utilizing TTSORS during the reporting period

32

#### **Appendix B: Application Checklist**

### SMART 2019 Maintenance and Operation of the Dru Sjodin Sex Offender Public Website

This application checklist has been created as an aid in developing an application.

#### What an Applicant Should Do:

Prior to Registering in Grants.gov:	
Acquire a DUNS Number	(see page 23)
Acquire or renew registration with SAM	(see page 24)
To Register with Grants.gov:	_
Acquire AOR and Grants.gov username/password	(see page 24)
Acquire AOR confirmation from the E-Biz POC	(see page 24)
To Find Funding Opportunity:	
Search for the Funding Opportunity on Grants.gov	(see page 24)
Access Funding Opportunity and Application Package	(see page 24)
Sign up for Grants.gov email <u>notifications</u> (optional)	(see page 22)
Read Important Notice: Applying for Grants in Grants.gov	(see page 23)
Read OJP policy and guidance on conference approval, planning and rep	orting
Available at ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/PostawardRequirements/chapter	<u>3.10a.htm</u>
	(see page 10)
After Application Submission, Receive Grants.gov Email Notifications That:	
(1) application has been received	
(2) application has either been successfully validated or rejected with erro	rs
	(see page 25)
If No Grants.gov Receipt, and Validation or Error Notifications are Received:	
Contact SMART regarding experiencing technical difficulties	
	(see page 25)

#### **Overview of Post-Award Legal Requirements:**

Review the Overview of Legal Requirements Generally Applicable to OJP Grants and Cooperative Agreements - FY 2018 Awards in the OJP Funding Resource Center.

#### Scope Requirement:

\_\_\_\_ The federal amount requested is within the allowable limit(s) of up to \$1 million.

**Eligibility Requirement:** Eligible applicants are limited to nonprofit and/or for-profit organizations that have demonstrated significant experience in providing website development, hosting and management; web services; service-oriented architecture; distributed information sharing systems; service to criminal justice-related users, law enforcement data and maintaining security protocols; and specialized training and technical assistance to the states, tribes and territories participating in Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act (SORNA) information sharing.

#### What an Application Should Include:

Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424)	(see page 11)
Project Abstract	(see page 12)
Program Narrative	(see page 12)
Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative	(see page 14)
Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable)	(see page 17)
Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire	(see page 18)
Applicant Disclosure of Pending Applications	(see page 19)
Applicant Disclosure and Justification – DOJ High Risk Grantees if applicable)	(see page 20)
Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL)	(see page 20)
Additional Attachments	(see page 21)
Proposed project timeline and expected milestones	(see page 21)
Position descriptions and résumés of any and all key staff	(see page 21)
Disclosure of Process Related to Executive Compensation	(see page 21)
Request and Justification for Employee Compensation; Waiver (if applicable	e)
	(see page 10)